

TARRYTOWN NY 10591-5144



## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. BAYER9930-WC FRIEBE 08/29/97 08/894,824 **EXAMINER** IM22/0324 MOORE, M WILLIAM C. GERSTENZANG NORRIS, McLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A. **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 600 WHITE PLAINS ROAD

DATE MAILED:

1712

03/24/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks



## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

08/894,824

Applicantis)

Friebe et al.

Examiner

**Margaret Glass Moore** 

Group Art Unit 1712



	e peri	OD FOR	RESPONS	E: [check only	a) or b)]			
	a) 💢	expires	5	months from the	mailing date of the fir	al rejection.		
	b) [	expires is later. rejection	In no event,	nonths from the n however, will the	nailing date of the fina e statutory period for	al rejection, or on t the response expire	he mailing date of this Advisc e later than six months from t	ory Action, whichever he date of the final
	date on determi	which the	ne response, in period of extending the period of extending the period of extending the period of th	the petition, and tension and the co	the fee have been filed rresponding amount o	d is the date of the If the fee. Any ext	proposed response and the a response and also the date f ension fee pursuant to 37 CF as set forth in b) above.	or the purposes of
	Appell period	ant's Br for resp	ief is due tv oonse set fo	vo months fror orth above, whi	n the date of the Nichever is later). S	lotice of Appeal ee 37 CFR 1.19	filed on	(or within any
					filed on <u>Mar 20</u> in condition for all		een considered with the f	ollowing effect,
X	The pr	roposed	amendmen	t(s):				
	🛛 wi	ill be ent	ered upon	filing of a Notic	e of Appeal and ar	n Appeal Brief.		
	□ wi	ill not be	entered be	ecause:				
		they ra	ise new iss	ues that would	require further cor	nsideration and/	or search. (See note belo	w).
		they ra	ise the issu	e of new matte	er. (See note belov	v).		
			e not deem for appeal.	ed to place the	application in bett	er form for appe	eal by materially reducing	or simplifying the
		they pr	esent addit	ional claims wi	thout cancelling a	corresponding n	umber of finally rejected o	claims.
	NO.	TE: <u>Th</u>	is overcom	es the objection	n to claim 1 as not	ed in the office	action dated 10/18/99.	
		oplicant'	s response		the following rejec			
	_			1				
	Newly separa	y propos ate, tim	ed or amer	nded claims			would be allowable	
	separa The a	ate, tim offidavit,	ely filed am exhibit or r	nded claims endment cance	elling the non-allow	able claims.		if submitted in a
	The a for all Applie	ate, tim Iffidavit, Iowance Icants ha	ely filed am exhibit or r because: eve not pers	nded claimsendment cance equest for reco	elling the non-allow onsideration has be lished that the ami	able claims. en considered b	would be allowable	if submitted in a
X	The a for all Applie which	ate, time offidavit, lowance cants ha h uses th offidavit	ely filed am exhibit or r because: eve not pers he phrase "	nded claimsendment cancer equest for reconstructions of the consisting essential consisting essential consisting essential consisting essential NOT be consisting essential	elling the non-allow onsideration has be lished that the ami ontially of".	able claims. en considered b	would be allowable	if submitted in a oplication in condition claimed composition
<b>IX</b>	The a for all Applie which	ate, time offidavit, lowance cants ha h uses the offidavit xaminer	ely filed am exhibit or r because: ve not pers ne phrase " or exhibit w in the final	nded claimsendment cancer equest for reconsulatively estable consisting essential NOT be con- rejection.	elling the non-allow onsideration has be lished that the ami ntially of". sidered because it	able claims. en considered b no crosslinking e	would be allowable ut does NOT place the ap	if submitted in a oplication in condition claimed composition were newly raised by
<b>IX</b>	The a for all Applie which The a the Ex	ate, time offidavit, lowance cants ha h uses the offidavit xaminer urposes	ely filed am exhibit or r because: ve not pers ne phrase " or exhibit w in the final	ended claimsendment cancer equest for reconsulatively estable consisting essectial NOT be con- rejection.	elling the non-allow onsideration has be lished that the ami entially of". sidered because it the claims is as follow	able claims. en considered b no crosslinking a is not directed s ows (see attache	would be allowable ut does NOT place the appear is excluded by the constitution of the constitution with the constitution of the constitution with the constitution of	if submitted in a oplication in condition claimed composition were newly raised by any):
<b>IX</b>	The a for all Application which The a the Experience Claim	ate, time offidavit, lowance cants had h uses the offidavit xaminer urposes	ely filed am exhibit or r because: eve not pers ne phrase " or exhibit w in the final of Appeal,	ended claims endment cance equest for reconstruction establishment cancer and the consisting essential NOT be conrejection.	elling the non-allow onsideration has be lished that the ami entially of". sidered because it the claims is as follo	able claims. en considered b no crosslinking a is not directed S ows (see attache	would be allowable ut does NOT place the appeared is excluded by the constitution of the constitution which we constitute the constitution which we constitute the constitution of the constitution which we constitute the constitution of the consti	if submitted in a oplication in condition claimed composition were newly raised by any):
<b>IX</b>	The a for all Applie which The a the Ex	ate, time offidavit, lowance cants hat h uses the offidavit examiner urposes as allowers s object	ely filed am exhibit or r because: eve not pers ne phrase " or exhibit w in the final of Appeal, ed: none ed to: none	ended claims endment cance equest for reconstruction establishment cancer and the consisting essential NOT be conrejection.	elling the non-allow onsideration has be lished that the amin intially of". sidered because it the claims is as follo	able claims. en considered b no crosslinking e is not directed S ows (see attache	would be allowable ut does NOT place the apagent is excluded by the constitution of the constitution with the constitution of the constitution with the constitution of the constitution o	if submitted in a oplication in condition claimed composition were newly raised by any):
<b>IX</b>	The a for all Applied which The a the Extended Claim Claim The p	ate, time  offidavit, lowance  cants ha  h uses th  offidavit  xaminer  urposes  as allowe  as object  as rejecte  oroposed	ely filed am exhibit or r because: ve not pers ne phrase " or exhibit w in the final of Appeal, ed: none ed to: none ed: 1 to 6, drawing co	ended claims endment cance equest for reconstruction.  The status of the	elling the non-allow onsideration has be lished that the aminotially of". sidered because it the claims is as follows:	able claims. en considered b no crosslinking a is not directed s ows (see attache	would be allowable ut does NOT place the apagent is excluded by the constitution of the work of the constitution of the consti	if submitted in a oplication in condition claimed composition were newly raised by any):
	The a for all Applied which The a the Extended Claim Claim The p	ate, time  offidavit, lowance  cants ha  h uses th  offidavit  xaminer  urposes  as allowe  as object  as rejecte  oroposed	ely filed am exhibit or r because: ve not pers ne phrase " or exhibit w in the final of Appeal, ed: none ed to: none ed: 1 to 6, drawing co	ended claims endment cance equest for reconstruction.  The status of the	elling the non-allow onsideration has be lished that the amin intially of". sidered because it the claims is as follows:	able claims. en considered b no crosslinking a is not directed s ows (see attache	would be allowable ut does NOT place the apagent is excluded by the constitution of the work of the constitution of the consti	if submitted in a oplication in condition claimed composition were newly raised by any):
	The a for all Applied which The a the Extended Claim Claim The p	ate, time  offidavit, lowance cants ha h uses th  offidavit xaminer  urposes as allowe as object as rejecte  oroposed the atta	ely filed am exhibit or r because: ve not pers ne phrase " or exhibit w in the final of Appeal, ed: none ed to: none ed: 1 to 6, drawing co	ended claims endment cance equest for reconstruction.  The status of the	elling the non-allow onsideration has be lished that the aminotially of". sidered because it the claims is as follows:	able claims. en considered b no crosslinking a is not directed s ows (see attache	would be allowable ut does NOT place the apagent is excluded by the constitution of the work of the constitution of the consti	if submitted in a oplication in condition claimed composition were newly raised by any):